

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/073,959 02/14/2002 8711 Hisashi Nakamura 042288 EXAMINER 38834 7590 09/27/2004

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW **SUITE 700** WASHINGTON, DC 20036

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

LIU, MING HUN

2675

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/073,959	NAKAMURA ET AL	KAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ming-Hun Liu	2675		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2 and 3</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:		DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER	// //~	
		ciucii de-1 j		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues "there is no mention of obtaining the control voltage for the driving circuit of the cooling fan by utilizing a storage means for storing a control table" more specifically, "Sugawara does not determine the control voltage for the fan based on the control table corresponding to the class to which the outside air pressure detected by the air pressure sensor belongs and to the temperature detected by the temperature sensor, thereby controlling the fan according to the voltage, as does the present invention." (remarks page 2). This however is untrue. Sugawara demonstrates in figure 4, and describes in column 8, line 34 that "an output of the barometer in... and the a temperature compensation value [applicant's control voltage] is acquired form a table of air pressure versus temperature." Perhaps more easily understood from the flow chart (figure 4) the temperature compensation is gathered using input from both air pressure and temperature detection values.